RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, ORBISON & LEWIS

GREGORY W ALBERTY
JACK R ANDERSON
THOMAS M ASKEW
RYAN J ASSINK
LISA K BICKLE
DONALD M SHISHAM
MELISSA A BOUTIN
WILLIAM A BOWLES
RICHARD B BOYLE, IV
KELLY L BRATCHER
H JAMES BRIGGS
PETER W BROLICK
STACE BRYZA
SCOTT W BYRD
MICHELLE M CARTER
JILL CHASE
STEPHEN L CORTES
MATTHEW P CROUCH
ROBERT P DEAN
TINA LOUISE DIAZ
FRANCISCO LUIS DONGO
GLERNA S DORRIS
JANET S DUMONT
IRAL EDWARDS, JR
GEORGE M EMERSON
JEFF M FUGATE
RICHARD A GAMIN
BART T, GARBUTT
RICHARD T GARREN
D SHARON GEHTRY
NANCY A GRAHAM

STEPHEN E. HALE
MELVIN C. HALL
SMARONE HAMM
ZACHERY R. HARGIS
CHISTOPHEN S HEROUX
HOLLY M. HILLERMANI
ERIK S. HOUGHTON
ROBERT E. HOWARD
VAUGHN ISKAHVAN
WALGREGORY JAMES
STEVEN JANISZEWSKI
KIEMORINI L. JONES
SARAH G. KIENY
SCOTT P. KIRTLEY
KRISTOPHENE KOEPSEL
TERRY O. KORDELISKI. II
G. DJAINELE
MICHELLE D. LEFLORE
JOSEPH P. LERNART
TYLER D. LEGNARD
MICHELLE J. LESTER
C. S. LEWIS. III
JOHN D. LUTON
JANIET G. MALLOW
JOHN ROSS MALOY
MATT D. MATHESON
GLOYD L. MCCOY
MATT D. MATHESON
GLOYD MCCOY
MATT D. MATHESON
GLOYD L. MCCOY

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW
THE PARAGON BUILDING SUITE 101
5801 BROADWAY EXTENSION
OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73118-7489
(405) 843-9909
Fax (405) 842-2913

February 14, 2007

RAYMOND A MELION
RICHARD A MILDREN
RICHARD A MILDREN
RICHARD A MICHEN
RICHARD A MICHEN
RICHARD

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

BETTY J SOMMARS
BEVERLY A STEWART
CHRISTOPHER S WAINSON
STEPHANKE L THEBAN
DAVID H THOMAS
HARLEY W. THOMAS
REX W THOMPSON
SONIA M TREI
MICHAEL C. TURPEN
PHILIP J VADEBONCOEUR
CHIDA VAIN ARKL-GREUDEL
KAREN CARDEN WALSH
SHARON K. WEAVER
JOSEPH R WELLS
BRIAN S WILKERSON
DOUGLAS A WILSON
DOUGLAS A WILSON
JERRY L. WITT
COURTNEY M WOULH
MICHAEL P WOMACK
GARYW WOOD
TRACY S ZAHL D

Of Counsel Benjamin P. Abney E. Bryan Henson Peter J. Regan

John R. Elrod, Esq. Conner & Winters, PC 100 W. Center, Suite 200 Fayetteville, AR 72701

Re: State of Oklahoma v. Tyson Foods, et al., U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma Case No. 4:05-cv-00329-TCK-SAJ

Dear John:

In compliance with the Court's order of January 5, 2007, we are writing to inform you that the materials produced to the Poultry Integrator Defendants as a part of the State's voluntary offer and the Court's subsequent order do not directly respond to the interrogatories and request for production of documents which were at issue before the Court. Therefore, the State will not supplement its previous objections and responses at this time.

While the sampling data provided to the Poultry Integrator Defendants may be helpful to them in determining issues raised by Simmons, such as annual P and N loading to Lake Tenkiller, the amount of loading from Simmons or its growers, and how the State knows such loading, this raw data, without additional expert interpretation or modeling, cannot answer the questions Simmons has posed. The development of the State's case may not ever determine the answers to all of these interrogatories. However, at present the State's expert consultants have not completed their analysis of this data. To the extent that the State determines it will use evidence responsive to these interrogatories in its case, we will supplement our interrogatory responses at an appropriate time, or make appropriate disclosures in our expert witness reports.

Simmons request for production of documents asked for documents supporting the responses to Interrogatories 1-4. The State has provided, and will continue to provide, documents generally pertinent to N and P loading in its earlier rolling production of documents, and its current on-site agency document productions.

February 14, 2007 Page 2

Nothing provided in the State's most recent production last week pertains to Simmons' Interrogatory No. 5 dealing with the identity of persons suffering adverse health effects from the application of poultry litter. Therefore, the State will not supplement its response to this interrogatory at this time.

Sincerely,

Robert A. Nance FOR THE FIRM